```
JESSE SBAIH, ESQ.
 1
    JESSE SBAIH & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
 2
    170 S. Green Valley Parkway, Ste. 280
    Henderson, NV 89012
 3
    Ph.: (702) 896-2529
    Fax: (702) 896-0529
 4
    E-Mail: jsbaih@sbaihlaw.com
 5
    DAVID M. BIRKA-WHITE, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming)
 6
    BIRKA-WHITE LAW OFFICES
 7
    65 Oak Court
    Danville, CA 94526
 8
    Tel: (925) 362-9999
    Fax: (925) 362-9970
    E-mail: dbw@birka-white.com
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff and
11
    others similarly situated
12
                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                                 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
14
    MICHELLE HERRERA, individually, and on )
    behalf others similarly situated,
15
                                               Case No.: 2:13-cv-00908-MMD-PAL
16
          Plaintiff,
17
       VS.
18
                                               PLAINTIFF'S STATUS REPORT
    ALLSTATE
                  FIRE
                          AND
                                  CASUALTY)
19
    INSURANCE
                    COMPANY;
                                  ALLSTATE)
    INDEMNITY
                    COMPANY;
                                  ALLSTATE)
20
    INSURANCE
                    COMPANY:
                                  ALLSTATE)
21
    PROPERTY & CASUALTY
                                  COMPANY;)
    ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY)
22
    INSURANCE COMPANY; and DOES I – V)
    and ROES VI - X, inclusive;
23
24
          Defendants.
25
          COMES NOW Plaintiff, Michelle Herrera (hereinafter "Herrera"), on behalf of herself
26
    and others similarly situated, by and through her counsel of record, and in accordance with this
27
28
    court's Order [Docket #20], Plaintiff hereby submits the following status report:
```

Plaintiff and Allstate have conferred by telephone regarding the scheduling and planning related to the appraisal process. The parties disagree regarding the preliminary steps to set up the appraisal process. Plaintiff's Allstate policy provides as follows:

Right of Appraisal

Both **you** and **we** have a right to demand an appraisal of the loss. Each will appoint and pay a qualified appraiser. Other appraisal expense will be shared equally. The two appraisers, or a judge of a court of record, will choose an umpire. Each appraiser will state the actual cash value and the amount of loss. If they disagree, they'll submit their differences to the umpire. A written decision by any two of these three persons will determine the amount of the loss.

Plaintiff has chosen their appraiser. Allstate has not yet chosen their appraiser. Plaintiff has suggested to defendant that the deadline for the selection of their appraiser be set for two weeks. Plaintiff has requested a deadline for the selection of the umpire and a deadline for the appraisal hearing. Plaintiff proposes that the appraisal reports of both appraisers be exchanged three weeks prior to the appraisal hearing with the umpire.

Parenthetically, Plaintiff has requested that the parties agree that the methodologies to be employed by the appraisers comport with NAC 686A.680(1)(b)(1). In this way, the appraisers and the umpire will be employing total loss methodologies that are compliant with NAC 686A.680(1)(b)(1), which provides, "(1) The cost of two or more comparable automobiles in the local market area which are currently available or were available within the most previous 90 days to consumers in the local market area."

Allstate disagrees with Plaintiff's position and has suggested that the appraisal methodologies be left entirely to the discretion of the appraisers. Plaintiff asserts that the legal standard for the appraisal methodology, the central issue in this litigation, is a question for the Court.

Case 2:13-cv-00908-MMD-PAL Document 23 Filed 02/04/14 Page 3 of 4

The parties have agreed to meet and confer on these topics and report back to the Court within the next thirty (30) days. Plaintiff anticipates that the intervention of the Court will likely be necessary to resolve the deadlines and appropriate evaluation methodology. DATED this 4th day of February, 2014. JESSE SBAIH & ASSOCIATES, LTD. /s/: Jesse Sbaih, Esq. JESSE SBAIH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7898 170 S. Green Valley Parkway, Ste. 280 Henderson, NV 89012 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 Pursuant to FRCP Rule 5(b), I certify I am an employee of the law firm of Jesse Sbaih & 3 Associates, Ltd., and that on this date, I caused PLAINTIFF'S STATUS REPORT to be 4 served via electronic service to the following: 5 ABRAN VIGIL, ESQ. 6 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4617 7 Telephone: (702) 868-7523 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 8 9 MARK HANOVER, ESQ. DENTONS US LLP 10 233 South Wacker Drive, 7800 Chicago, IL 60606 11 Telephone: (312) 876-8000 Facsimile: (312) 876-7934 12 13 DATED this 4th day of February, 2014. 14 15 _/s/ Jennifer Herald_ An employee of Jesse Sbaih & Associates 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28